

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| In re Patent Application of: |) | |
| Shunpei YAMAZAKI et al. |) | Group Art Unit: 2815 |
| Application No. 08/520,079 |) | Examiner: Jay C. Kim |
| Filed: August 28, 1995 |) | Confirmation No. 1321 |
| For: SEMICONDUCTOR CIRCUIT FOR |) | |
| ELECTRO-OPTICAL DEVICE AND |) | |
| METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING |) | |
| THE SAME |) | |

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action dated April 2, 2010, reconsideration and withdrawal of the currently pending rejections are respectfully requested.

The Final Office Action of April 2, 2010 was received and carefully reviewed. Claims 87, 88, 90, 123, 124, and 126 are currently pending in the instant application. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the currently pending rejections are requested as per the arguments presented in the response filed on October 16, 2009 and below.

Claims 87, 88 and 90 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Takemura (U.S. Patent No. 5,534,716), and claims 123, 124 and 126 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Takemura, in view of Zhang et al. (U.S. 5,403,772, hereinafter "Zhang"). These rejections are traversed.

The subject matter of Takemura is disqualified as prior art in accordance with the MPEP 706.02(I)(3) and 35 U.S.C. §103(c)(1). The Examiner, however, contends pages 8 and 9 of the Office Action that 35 U.S.C. §103(c)(1) does not apply to the instant application because he contends that MPEP 706.02(I) provides that for applications filed prior to